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Abstract

Stress inversion of 747 fault-slip data was performed using an improved Right-Dihedral method, followed by rotational optimization (WINTENSOR Program, Delvaux, 2006). Fault-slip data including fault planes, striations and sense of movements, are obtained from the quarries of Turonian Wadi As Sir Formation, and distributed over 14 stations in the study area of Northern Jordan. The orientation of the principal stress axes ($\sigma_1$, $\sigma_2$, and $\sigma_3$) and the ratio of the principal stress differences (R) show that $\sigma_1$ (SHmax) and $\sigma_3$ (SHmin) are generally sub-horizontal and $\sigma_2$ is sub-vertical in 9 of 15 paleostress tensors, which are belonging to a major strike-slip system with $\sigma_1$ swinging around NNW direction. Four stress tensors show $\sigma_2$ (SHmax), $\sigma_1$ vertical and $\sigma_3$ NE oriented. This situation is explained as permutation of stress axes $\sigma_1$ and $\sigma_2$ that occur during tectonic events. The new paleostress results show three paleostress regimes that belong to two main stress fields. The first is characterized by E-W to WNW-ESE compression and N-S to NNE –SSW extension. This stress field is associated with the formation of the Syrian Arc fold belt started in the Turonian. The second paleostress field is characterized by NW-SE to NNW-SSE compression and NE-SW to ENE-WSW extension. It is related to Middle Miocene – Recent sinistral movement along the Dead Sea transform and the opening of the Red Sea.
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1. Introduction

The major structures in the Levant are the Dead Sea transform (DST) and the Syrian Arc belt (SAB). The Dead Sea transform extends from the Gulf of Aqaba in the south to the Taurus mountains in Turkey in the north. It separates the Arabian plate from Sinai sub-plate and connects the Red Sea spreading center with the Alpine orogeny in the north (Fig. 1). The DST was formed as a result of the northward sinistral movement of the Arabian plate associated with the opening of the Red Sea. The movement started in the Miocene and is still active in the present times. The Syrian arc is a system of fold belts extending from Sinai in the southwest to central Syria in the northeast (Fig. 1). This folding was formed in two phases. The first phase formed in the Turonian-Maestrichtian and the second phase in the Oligocene. The structural pattern of Jordan was affected since the Late Cretaceous by the movement along the DST and the formation of the SAB. The regional tectonics of Jordan has been studied through macrostructures by many authors (e.g., Burdon 1959; Bender 1968; Mikbel and Zacher 1981; Quennell 1983; Mikbel 1986; Atallah 1992). Few analyses have been focusing, however, on the regional tectonics based on mesostructures in Jordan (e.g., Salameh and Zacher 1982; Diabat 1999, 2002; Zain Eldeen et al.,2002; Diabat et al., 2003., 2004; Diabat and Masri 2005). The relative motion across the DST has been estimated both by regional plate motion models and local slip rate considerations. The regional plate motion studies used the fault orientation, additional local observations, and constraints from the motion of neighboring plates to estimate 5-10 mm/ yr of relative motion across the DST (e.g., Garfunkel, 1981; Joffe and Garfunkel, 1987; Chu and Gordon, 1998). Local geologic and seismic studies, which estimate the slip rate across the DST, yielded a wider range of relative motion estimates, from 1 to 10 mm/ yr (e.g., Freund et al., 1968; McKenzie et al., 1970; Ben Avraham et al., 1979; Shapiro and Hofstetter, 1993; Klinger et al., 2000a, 2000b). Generally, seismic estimates show a rate of only 1-4 mm/yr, geomorphologic estimates are in the range of 3-7 mm/ yr, whereas long-term geological estimates are in the range of 6-10 mm/ yr. Space geodetic technologies, in particular GPS, providing the first direct estimates of current plate motion in the eastern Mediterranean, estimated the current slip rate across the DST as 3.3 ± 0.4 mm/yr. Mesostructures are considered to be accurate indicators of the paleostress and strain orientation (Angelier, 1979, 1989, 1994; Delvaux et al., 1995, 1997). Horizontal stylolites in Jordan were measured and described for the first time by Salameh and Zacher (1982), when they studied the relationship between stylolites and...
paleostresses. Zain Eldeen et al (2002) studied the tectonic evolution of the Wadi Araba segment (the southern part of the DST), based on fault slip data. Diabat (1999) and Diabat et al. (2004) studied the paleostresses at the eastern rim of the DST. The study represents the first results of the paleostresses in Jordan based on fault slip data (Slickenside analysis). Their results summarize the stress field east of the DST in two compression stress systems; the NNW-SSW Dead Sea system (DSS), and the Syrian Arc system (SAS).

The area of investigation is located in the northern part of Jordan (Figs 1, 2 and 3). It includes Al Husn, Shatana, Kitim, Deyr Yusif, Eshtafaina, and Thaghret Asfour, where Upper Cretaceous (Turonian) rocks are well exposed in many quarries. This gives a good opportunity for measuring fault slip data.

Fig. 1. Tectonic setting of the Dead Sea transform and the Syrian Arc, showing the study area (modified after Garfunkel, 1981).
Fig. 2.a (1): Geological map of Al Husn area.

Fig. 2.a (2): Geological map of Deyr Yusif area.
Fig 2. b (1) : Geological map of Thagret Asfour area.

Fig 2. b (2) : Geological map of Eshtafaina area
2. Geological Setting

The outcropping rocks in the study area are of Upper Cretaceous age (Fig. 2). The oldest and most exposed rocks are the Wadi As Sir Formation of Turonian age. It is composed mostly of three distinctive parts, the lower part consists of dolomite, dolomitic limestone and/or recrystallized limestone, the middle part consists of relatively soft marly limestone and limestone, and the upper part consists almost totally of thick, bedded to massive limestone. The average thickness of this formation in the study area is 120 m (Abdelhamid, 1993). This formation is overlain by the Um Ghudran Formation of Coniacian-Santonian age, composed mainly of massive chalk at the base and intercalations of chalk and limestone at the top with chert beds and concretions. The thickness of this formation is about 30 m. The youngest rocks are the Campanian Amman Formation. It is composed of alternating beds of chert and limestone. In the study area most of this formation was eroded and remnants of fractured rocks were preserved at the top of hills.

The area of North Jordan is characterized by the presence of ENE-WSW trending Al Husn and Thaghret Asfour fold belts (Atallah and Mikbel, 1992). These fold belts occur as gentle parallel anticlines and synclines. Another prominent structure in northern Jordan is the Ajlun structure (Abed, 200). The area is cut by many faults mostly of strike slip and normal types. The general strike of these faults is WNW-ESE (Figs 2 and 3).
3. Paleostress analysis

3.1. Field Measurements

The fault slip data were collected by measuring the attitude (strike and dip) of the fault planes and the attitude (trend and plunge) of the slickenlines (lineated slickensides) on these faults. The measurements took place in 14 stations. 860 fault slip data were collected from horizontal and subhorizontal strata in the limestone quarries of the Turonian Wadi As Sir Formation. The stations are distributed in six areas in northern Jordan. Each station in most cases is one quarry, but in the case of few measurements two or more adjacent quarries were considered as one station. The attitude of slickenlines in addition to the associated features as mineral steps and tension gashes has been used to determine the orientation of slip and the sense of relative motion along the fault planes. The faults on which slickenlines were measured are generally high angle and are of normal, reverse and strike slip nature. Figure 4 shows the major trends of the fault strikes. Normal faults have two major trends: NE-SW and NW-SE, reverse faults strike NNE-SSW, and dextral faults have three major trends: NE-SW, WNW-ESE, and E-W, and the sinistral faults have also three trends: NNE-SSW, E-W, and NW-SSE.

3.2. Stress inversion method

Fault plane and slickenline orientations, including slip senses are used to compute the four parameters of paleostress tensors (σ1, σ2, σ3 and R): the principal stress axis σ1 (maximum compression), σ2 (intermediate compression) and σ3 (minimum compression) and the ratio of principal stress difference R = (σ2 - σ3) / (σ1 - σ3). These four parameters are determined using an improved version of the Right Dihedron method of Angelier and Mechler (1977), using the WINTENSOR computer program developed by Delvaux (2006). Paleostress inversion techniques have been used by various workers for more than 30 years. They are based upon the work of Wallace (1951) and Bott (1959), who assumed that slip on a plane occurs in the direction of the maximum resolved shear stress. The slip direction on the fault plane is inferred from slickenside lineation and calcite steps. The data used for the inversion are the fault plane, slip line orientation whereas the sense of movement was derived from slickolites and mineralization along steps for each fault plane. Stress tensors can be reconstructed by using tension slickolites and mineralization along steps for each fault plane. The sense of movement was derived from slickenlines and calcite steps. The data used for stress tensor determination; plunge and azimuth of the principal stress axes; stress ratio; the orientation of maximum horizontal stress axes; paleostress tensors (σ1 SHmax) and σ3 is vertical. The stress regimes also vary as a function of the stress ratio R: radial extension (σ1 vertical, 0 < R < 0.25), pure extension (σ1 vertical, 0.75 < R < 1), trans-tension (σ1 vertical, 0 > R > 0.75), pure strike-slip (σ2 vertical, 0.25 < R < 0.75), transpression (σ2 vertical, 0.75 < R < 1), and radial compression (σ3 vertical, 0 < R < 0). The orientation of the principal stresses and the stress difference ratio (R) were determined by selecting those measurements of small faults with obvious sense of movement (i.e. slickolites and mineral steps).

The fourteen established stations are located on exposures of Wadi As Sir Formation. 113 measurements out of 860 were omitted because they are incompatible with slip deviations and show unreasonable results. This may be due to measurement error in the field or to local block rotation around fault blocks. Table 1 shows the number of fault-slip data used for stress tensor determination; plunge and azimuth of the principal stress axes; stress ratio; the orientation of maximum horizontal compressive stress and the deformation geometry (tensor type). Examples of stress inversion results in different sites of the study area are presented in Figure 5. Some sites like station 1 exhibit more than one tensor, indicating multiple movements (displacement) and superimposed stress states.
4. Results

Fourteen stations have been studied in which 747 fault slip data were used in calculation. Shatana area is represented by 4 stations (1, 4, 5 and 6), Kitim area by 3 stations (2, 3, and 10), Al-Husn area by 4 stations (7, 8, 9, and 11), and the following 3 areas by 1 station each: Deyr Yusif (station 12), Estalatama (station 13), and Thagret Asfour (station 14) (Figs 2 and 3).

The following is a representation of the results in each station:

4.1. Station 1

One hundred and ninety five measurements were carried out in Shatana quarries of the study area (Fig. 3 and Table 1). Two stress tensors were obtained from the whole fault population: the first stress tensor (59 measurements) gives the maximum principal stress axis ($\sigma_1$) 00/ 273, the intermediate principal stress axis ($\sigma_2$) 04/ 183, and the minimum principal stress axis ($\sigma_3$) 85/ 315; with stress ratio (R) equals 0.21. The tensor belongs to compressive strike-slip regime. It indicates E-W compression and N-S extension. This tensor produced the conjugated WNW dextral and NNE sinistral strike-slip faults.

The second stress tensor (136 measurements) is characterized by $\sigma_1$: 05/155, $\sigma_2$: 80/036 and $\sigma_3$: 09/243 with R= 0.52. It belongs to pure strike-slip regime, and indicates NNW-SSE compression and ENE-WSW extension. It is responsible for the conjugated N-S sinistral and ESE dextral strike-slip faults.

4.2. Station 2

Seventy-six fault slip data were carried out in a quarry located in Kitim of the study area (Fig. 3 and Table 1). The calculated stress tensor is characterized by $\sigma_1$: 25/ 065, $\sigma_2$: 64/ 269 and $\sigma_3$: 06/ 160 with R= 0.35. It belongs to extensive strike-slip regime and indicates ENE compression and NNW extension. This tensor show local counterclockwise rotation of the principal stress axes.

4.3. Station 3

Fifteen fault slip measurements have been carried out in a quarry of this station (Fig. 3 and Table 1). The resulted stress tensor is characterized by $\sigma_1$: 01/ 086, $\sigma_2$: 82/ 186 and $\sigma_3$: 08/359 with R= 0.18. It belongs to compressive strike-slip regime and indicates E-W compression and N-S extension. This tensor produced the conjugated WNW dextral and NNE sinistral strike-slip faults.

4.4. Station 4

Two hundred and forty fault- slip measurements were carried out in a quarry of this station (Fig. 3 and Table 1). The stress tensor deduced from these measurements is characterized by $\sigma_1$: 05/155, $\sigma_2$: 80/036 and $\sigma_3$: 09/243 with R= 0.52. It belongs to pure strike-slip regime and indicates NNW compression and ENE extension.
Table 1: Results of the reduced paleostress tensors from the fault-slip data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station No.</th>
<th>Nt.</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Principal Stress axis</th>
<th>Tensor type</th>
<th>SH\text{max}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>00/273, 04/183, 85/315</td>
<td>Pure compression</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>05/167, 84/308, 04/082</td>
<td>Pure strike slip</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>25/065, 64/269, 06/160</td>
<td>Extensional strike slip</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>01/086, 82/186, 08/359</td>
<td>Compression Strike slip</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>05/155, 80/036, 09/243</td>
<td>Pure strike slip</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>03/156, 22/247, 68/059</td>
<td>Pure compression</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>11/319, 78/115, 04/225</td>
<td>Pure strike slip</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>16/155, 74/316, 06/068</td>
<td>Pure strike slip</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>03/283, 85/054, 09/193</td>
<td>Pure strike slip</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>08/091, 73/201, 16/000</td>
<td>Pure strike slip</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>81/162, 05/282, 04/191</td>
<td>Pure extension</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>71/191, 09/309, 16/041</td>
<td>Pure extension</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>77/248, 16/053, 03/323</td>
<td>Pure extension</td>
<td>053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>70/202, 11/325, 24/060</td>
<td>Pure extension</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>03/113, 83/355, 06/207</td>
<td>Extensional strike slip</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nt. = net Number of measurements representing the tensor; R = stress ratio \((\sigma_2 - \sigma_3)/(\sigma_1 - \sigma_3)\); SH\text{max} = Horizontal maximum principal stress axis.

The tensor is responsible for the WNW- trending dextral faults and the N-S sinistral faults.

4.5. Station 5

Eighty-seven fault-slip data have been carried out in this station (Fig. 3 and Table 1). The tensor is characterized by \(\sigma_1: 03/156, \sigma_2: 22/247\) and \(\sigma_3: 68/059\) with \(R = 0.26\). It indicates NNW compression and belongs to pure compression regime. The tensor produced the N- S sinistral strike-slip faults and \(\sigma_3\) or the NE reverse faults at least in this station.

4.6. Station 6

Eleven fault-slip data were carried out in a quarry located in the northernmost part of the study area (Fig. 3 and Table 1). The stress tensor is characterized by \(\sigma_1: 10/112, \sigma_2: 76/247\) and \(\sigma_3: 10/020\) with \(R = 0.45\). It indicates WNW compression and NNE extension and belongs to pure strike-slip regime. This tensor is responsible for the NE-E dextral strike-slip faults.

4.7. Station 7

Ninety fault-slip measurements were carried out in this station of the study area (Fig. 3 and Table 1). The tensor is characterized by \(\sigma_1: 11/319, \sigma_2: 78/115\) and \(\sigma_3: 04/225\) with \(R = 0.42\). It indicates NW compression and NE extension and belongs to pure strike-slip regime. The tensor related with the conjugated E-W and N-S trending strike-slip faults.

4.8. Stations 8 and 9

Twenty-two fault-slip measurements were carried out in these stations (7 from station 8 and 15 from station 9). The two stress tensors are similar in the four parameters in which \(\sigma_1\) oriented E- W and \(\sigma_3\) N-S with \(R = 0.7\). They belong to the pure strike-slip regime (Fig. 3 and Table 1). The tensors are responsible for the conjugated ENE sinistral and WNW dextral strike-slip faults.

4.9. Station 10

Fourteen measurements were used in calculation of this station (Fig. 3 and Table 1). The resulted stress tensor is characterized by \(\sigma_1: 81/162, \sigma_2: 05/282\) and \(\sigma_3: 04/191\) with \(R = 0.53\). It indicates N-S extension and belongs to pure extension regime. This tensor is responsible for E- W trending normal faults in the study area.

4.10. Station 11
Thirty-six were used in calculation of this station (Fig. 3 and Table 1). The resulted stress tensor is characterized by \( \sigma_1: 71/191, \sigma_2: 09/309 \) and \( \sigma_3: 16/041 \) with \( R = 0.35 \). It indicates NE-SW extension and belongs to pure extension regime. This tensor is responsible for NW trending normal faults in the study area.

**4.11. Station 12**

Eighty-five fault-slip measurements were carried out in this station (Fig. 3 and Table 1). The calculated stress tensor is characterized by \( \sigma_1: 77/248, \sigma_2: 16/053 \) and \( \sigma_3: 03/323 \) with \( R = 0.65 \). It indicates NW-SE extension and belongs to pure extension regime. This tensor shows a contra verse result.

**4.12. Station 13**

Twenty-seven fault-slip measurements were carried out in this station. The calculated stress tensor is characterized by \( \sigma_1: 70/202, \sigma_2: 11/325 \) and \( \sigma_3: 24/060 \) with \( R = 0.74 \). It indicates ENE extension and belongs to pure extension regime. This tensor is responsible for N-S to NNW trending normal faults in the study area (Fig. 3).

**4.13. Station 14**

Twenty-five fault-slip measurements were carried out in this station (Fig. 3 and Table 1). The calculated stress tensor is characterized by \( \sigma_1: 03/113, \sigma_2: 83/355 \) and \( \sigma_3: 06/207 \) with \( R = 0.65 \). It indicates ESE compression and NNE extension. It belongs to extensional strike-slip regime. This tensor is responsible for E-W dextral strike-slip faults and to WNW trending normal faults in this station.

The above results with all parameters of the reduced stress tensors are provided in Table 1 and Fig. 5.

### 5. Discussion and Conclusions

The data in Table 1 and Figure 5 show that, nine out of the fifteen stress tensors belong to strike-slip regime (pure...
strike-slip, compressive strike-slip and extensive strike-slip). The tensors that show strike-slip-dominated regimes are shown in stations 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 14. The calculated results indicate that \( \sigma_1 \) (SHmax) and \( \sigma_3 \) (SHmin) are generally sub-horizontal and \( \sigma_2 \) is sub-vertical in all the previous stress tensors, which belong to a major strike-slip system with \( \sigma_1 \) swinging around N to NW direction in stations 1, 4, 6, and 7. These stress tensors are mainly responsible for the conjugated E-W dextral and NNW sinistral strike-slip faults in the study area. Stations 1, 3, 8, 9, on the other hand, show tensors with \( \sigma_1 \) (SHmax) swinging around E-W direction. These stress tensors are mainly responsible for NNE reverse faults and \( \sigma_1 \) swinging around E-W direction, and the other shows \( \sigma_2 \) (SHmax), \( \sigma_1 \) vertical and \( \sigma_3 \) is NE oriented except station 12 shows NW extension. This situation can explain as permutation of stress axes \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_2 \) that occur during tectonic events and partitioned strike-slip deformation. Such changes from predominantly strike-slip to predominantly normal faulting modes (\( \sigma_1/\sigma_2 \) permutation) frequently occur during a single stage and a distinct stress field. Results show that both normal and strike-slip faulting have relatively stable orientation of \( \sigma_3 \) axis, while \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_2 \) axes may change place at a single tectonic events at spatially different regions. Two tensors in stations 1 and 5 show pure compression. The first one shows \( \sigma_1 \) (SHmax) swinging around E-W direction, and the other shows \( \sigma_1 \) (SHmax) swinging around NNW-SSE direction. This means that they belong to two different stress systems and are compatible with the regional known stress fields in the region. Figure 5 and Table 1 show the reduced stress tensors with the orientations of both the horizontal maximum stress axes (SHmax) and the horizontal minimum stress axes (SHmin). The orientation of stress tensors in the different areas can be summarized in the following trends:

1. Six stations show a NW to NNW compression and NE to ENE extension. These tensors related with the Dead Sea stresses during the Miocene and the later stages.
2. Three stations show an E-W to ESE compression and N-S to NNW extension. These tensors are related with the Syrian Arc Stress field and responsible for the formation of the associated conjugated ENE-WSW dextral and NW-SE sinistral strike-slip faults in the study area.
3. Two stations show orthogonal pure compression (E-W and NNW-SSE). These tensors explained as the reactivation of the Syrian Arc related structures by the Dead Sea stresses on Post-Miocene times.
4. Three stations have a NE-SW extension. These stress tensors may be responsible for the formation of the local E-W and ESE-WNW normal faults in the study area. These stress tensors include three paleostress regimes, one with predominantly strike slip faulting (strike slip regime) and the two others with predominantly dip slip either normal faulting (extensional regime) or dip slip reverse faulting (compression regime).

There are some paleostress studies in the region. The work of Eyal and Reches (1983), Ron and Eyal (1985), Eyal (1996), and finally Eyal et al (2001) west of the DST show that, there are two main stress fields acting on the area since the Late Cretaceous, the oldest is the E-W to ESE-WNW compression. According to these authors, this stress is responsible for the formation of the Syrian Arc belt and they call this stress the Syrian Arc System (SAS). The second younger stress system is the N-S to NNW-SSE compression, and is responsible for the 105 km sinistral displacement along the DST and the opening of the Red Sea since the Miocene, it is called the Dead Sea System (DSS). East of the rift, the work of Diabat (1991, Diabat et al. 2004), and Zain Eldeen et al (2002) found almost the same above mentioned stress systems in addition to other local stresses. In the present study, the most dominating stress system is the NW to NNW compression, this stress system is compatible with the DSS. The second stress is the E-W to ESE compression, which is compatible with the SAS. The stations which have N-S and NE-SW extension are characterized by vertical \( \sigma_3 \). These stress tensors may be responsible for the formation of the E-W and the ESE-WNW normal faults shown on the map of Figure 3.
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